Young et al. and Coriell et al.) were to be expected. Quantitative estimates of bubble velocities for $Pe > 1$ would require numerical calculations, but presently no such analysis seems to be available.

References

[1]Papazian, J. M., Gutowski, R., and Wilcox, W. R., "Bubble Behavior during Solidification in Low Gravity," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 17, Oct. 1979, pp. 1111-1117.

[2]Young, N. O., Goldstein, J. S., and Block, M. J., "The Motion of Bubbles in a Vertical Temperature Gradient," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 6, 1959, p. 350.

[3]Papazian, J. M. and Wilcox, W. R., "Interaction of Bubbles with Solidification Interfaces," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 16, May 1978, p. 447.

[4]Papazian, J. M. and Wilcox, W. R., "Flight III Technical Report for Experiment 74-36," *Space Processing Applications Rocket Project SPAR III-Final Report*, NASA TM 78 137, Jan. 1978, pp. IV-1 to IV-32.

[5]Pearson, J.R.A., "On Convection Cells Induced by Surface Tension," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 4, 1958, p. 489.

[6]Coriell, S. R., Hardy, S. C., and Cordes, M. R., "Melt Shape in Weightless Crystal Growth," National Bureau of Standards, Space Processing Research, NBSIR 77-1208, Annual Report, Feb. 1977, p. 72.

Reply by Authors to H. Klein and A. Bewersdoff

John M. Papazian*
Grumman Aerospace Corporation, Bethpage, N.Y.
and
W.R. Wilcox†
Clarkson College of Technology, Potsdam, N.Y.

IN their Comment, Klein and Bewersdorff point out that the Young, Goldstein, and Block[1] formula gives a valid estimate of bubble velocities only when $Ma \ll 1$. We agree with this observation and have not suggested otherwise.[2,3] However, although the expected bubble velocities for $Ma > 1$ will be reduced compared to the Young et al. estimate, they will still *increase* as Ma increases. Thus, we maintain that the orders of magnitude discrepancy between the expected bubble velocities and our observed bubble immobility is signifiicant. In later papers, we described experiments and calculations designed to further investigate this discrepancy, and we were able to identify contamination as the most probable cause for the immobility of bubbles in our low-gravity experiments.[4,5] Well controlled experiments are still required in order to establish the precise nature of thermocapillary bubble motion in a low-gravity environment and its dependence on material properties. In addition, research on bubble migration velocity for $Ma > 1$ is currently in progress.[6]

References

[1]Young, N.O., Goldstein, J.S., and Block, M.J., "The Motion of Bubbles in a Vertical Temperature Gradient," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 6, 1959, p. 350.

[2]Papazian, J.M. and Wilcox, W.R., "The Interaction of Bubbles with Solidification Interfaces," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 16, May 1978, pp. 447-451.

[3]Papazian, J.M., Gutowski, R., and Wilcox, W.R., "Bubble Behavior During Solidification in Low Gravity, "*AIAA Journal*, Vol. 17, Oct. 1979, pp. 1111-1117.

[4]Wilcox, W.R., Subramanian, R.S., Papazian, J.M., Smith, H.D., and Mattox, D.M., "Screening of Liquids for Thermocapillary Bubble Movement, *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 17, Sept. 1979, pp. 1022-1024.

[5]Papazian, J.M. and Kosson, R.L., "Effect of Internal Heat Transport on the Thermal Migration of Bubbles," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 17, Nov. 1979, p. 1279-80.

[6]Wilcox, W.R. and Subramanian, R.S., research in progress, Clarkson College of Technology, Potsdam, N.Y., 1980.

Errata: An Approach for Estimating Vibration Characteristics of Nonuniform Rotor Blades

K.-W. Lang* and S. Nemat-Nasser†
Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill.

THE data for Illustrative Examples on page 998 should read as follows:

$$EI_1(x) \ddagger = \begin{cases} 2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ lb in.}^2, 0 \le x \le 0.2R \\ (4.332005 - 15.366799x + 26.696032x^2 \\ -15.153439x^3) \times 10^7 \text{ lb in.}^2, 0.2R < x \le R \end{cases}$$

$$m(x) \S = \begin{cases} 0.397549 + 93.7898x - 462.665x^2 \text{ lb}_m/\text{in.,} \\ 0 \le x \le 0.2R \ 1.101767 - 0.512333x \text{ lb}_m/\text{in.,} \\ 0.2R < x \le R \end{cases}$$

In the metric system, these become

$$EI_1(x) = \begin{cases} 7.174175 \times 10^4 \text{ Nm}^2, 0 \le x \le 0.2R \\ (12.431425 - 44.097642x + 76.608802x^2 \\ 43.485369x^3) \times 10^4 \text{ Nm}^2, 0.2R < x \le R \end{cases}$$

$$m(x) = \begin{cases} 7.09943 + 1674.8982x - 8262.2716x^2 \text{ kg/m,} \\ 0 \le x \le 0.2R \ 19.675355 - 9.149243x \text{ kg/m,} \\ 0.2R < x \le R \end{cases}$$

The errors are only of a typographical nature, and therefore, they do not affect the results presented in the published paper.